





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/207,945	12/09/1998	BINH NGUYEN	5577-115	7467
20792	7590 07/09/2003			
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 3742 RALEIGH, N	-		HUYNH, THU V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	· <

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		M		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09 <i>/</i> 207,945	NGUYEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thu V Huynh	2178		
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3's after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>06 June 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-13,15-17,19-23,25-32,3</u>	4-36,38-42,44-51,53-55 and 57 is	s/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Ctaim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-13, 15-17, 19-23, 25-32, 34</u>	4-36,38-42,44-51,53-55 and 57 is	/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	\square accepted or b) \square objected to by the	he Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objecti				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed or		isapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are require				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the complex of the complex of the certified copies of	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	·			
a) The translation of the foreign langua	age provisional application has be	een received.		
Attachment(s)	, ,			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) 🔲 Notice of II	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 30		

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed on 06/06/2003 to application filed on 12/09/1998.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-17, 19-23, 25-32, 34-36, 38-42, 44-51, 53-55, and 57 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 9, 13, 20, 28, 32, 39, 47, and 51 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - (b) This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-17, 19-23, 25-32, 34-36, 38-42, 44-51, 53-55, and 57 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Wodarz</u> et al., US 5,999,912 filed 05/1997, in view of <u>Monier</u>, US 5,974,455 filed 12/1995, <u>Blumenau</u> et al., US 6,108,637 filed 09/1996, and <u>Shaw</u> et al., US 6,311,211 B1 priority filed 09/1998.

Regarding independent claim 1. Wodarz teaches the steps of:

generating a requested web page, wherein the generated web page includes a content object having a unique identifier associated therewith (Wodarz, col.3, line 39 - col.4, line 12 and page 3, table 1, Wodarz teaches generating a web page

Art Unit: 2178

includes many ad objects. Each ad object having a unique identifier "Ad number" associated therewith); and

- serving the generated web page to the web client (Wodarz, col.3, line 39 - col.4, line 15).

However, Wodarz does not explicitly disclose the steps of storing a record of the user request within a web server log; appending the stored record of the user request with the unique identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web page; and the unique identifier is generated via a hashing function.

Monier teaches unique identifier is generated via a hashing function along with each URL (Monier, col.5, lines 55-60 and fig.2).

It would have been obvious to a version of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Monier and Wodarz to provide an unique identifier for each advertisement using hash function, since the hash function was well known for providing a unique identifier of a piece of data.

Blumenau discloses the steps of:

- storing a record of the user request within a web server log (Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-36); and
- associated with the content object included within the generated web page
 (Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-52, Blumenau teaches a web page "can itself reference other files" which implies that the web page must includes link object which has an unique identifier in order to reference to other file on an web page

Art Unit: 2178

environment. Further Blumenau teaches that the log file stores user information and "an identification of the file requested" which makes it clear that the unique identifier of the link request is also stored in the log file).

However, Blumenau does not explicitly disclose appending the stored record of the user request with an advertisement identifier.

Shaw teaches a server system utilizes information in an user profile/event log file to determine which advertisements are displayed to particular user (Shaw, col.4, lines 40-58), and an id ad is logged in an ad log file for the server process (Shaw, col.11, lins 56-57).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Blumenau and Shaw to store information about an object content in a web page, such as "URL" and "id ad" of the object content in a log file, since these information would have help the system determine which advertisement eligible to particular user.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Shaw, Blumenau into Wodarz and Blumenau to help the server to provide web pages which based on the user specific characteristics as Wodarz disclosed "selection of ads to provide to the user are based on user specific characteristic" (Wodarz, col.2, lines 7-13), since storing "a record of the user request within a web server log" and advertisement identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web page of Shaw and Blumenau would have helped the server keep track of the user's information and activities in order to decide which advertisement suitable to the user.

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding dependent claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Wodarz, Monier, Blumenau and Shaw teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Blumenau discloses wherein the record of the request includes information that identifies the user (Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-52).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Wodarz and Blumenau to help the server provide web pages which meet the user's interest, since basing on user identifier, the server would be able to serve web pages to appropriate user's needs as Wodarz disclosed at col.2, lines 7-13.

Regarding dependent claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Wodarz, Monier, Blumenau and Shaw teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Wodarz also discloses the method according to claim 1 wherein the step of generating the requested web page comprises the steps of:

- retrieving a layout template for the requested web page, wherein the layout template defines how content objects are displayed within the requested web page (Wodarz, col. 1, lines 35-40);
- retrieving the content objects (Wodarz, col.1, lines 35-62); and
- combining the content objects and the layout template to produce the requested web page (Wodarz, col.1, line 35 – col.2, line 6).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding dependent claim 4, which is dependent on claim 3, Wodarz, Monier,

Blumenau and Shaw teach the limitation of claim 3 as explained above. Wodarz discloses the

method according to claim 3 wherein the content object is selected from the group of image files,
hyperlinks (col.3, lines 55-61). However, Wodarz does not explicitly disclose the content object
is selected from the group of text files, audio files, and video file.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included that advertisement objects of Wodarz is selected from the group of text files, audio files, and video file, since it would have helped the generated web page more attractive to the user.

Regarding dependent claim 6, which is dependent on claim 1, Wodarz, Monier,

Blumenau and Shaw teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Wodarz also discloses
the method according to claim 1 further comprising the step of a parser program using
algorithms to select appropriate ads (Wodarz, col.2, lines 7-14), which implies the step of
analyzing a plurality of stored user request records to determine web content preferences of a
user.

Regarding dependent claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, Wodarz, Monier,

Blumenau and Shaw teach the limitations of claim 1 as explained above. Blumenau discloses
the step of appending the stored record of the user request with a time stamp for a subsequence
user request for a web page (Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-52).

Application/Control Number: 09/207,945 Page 7

Art Unit: 2178

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Wodarz and Blumenau to help the server more accurately analyze the user's records to determine web content preferences of a user, since the more user's information a server captures, the better the quality of the statistics would have been.

Regarding dependent claim 8, which is dependent on claim 7, Wodarz, Monier,

Blumenau and Shaw teach the limitations of claim 7 as explained above. Blumenau discloses the step of determining a length of time the user views the generated web page using the time stamp within the store record (Blumenau, col. 13, lines 50-58).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Wodarz, and Blumenau to provide more criteria for Wodarz's parser program to select ads to provide to the client, since the server knows what the users' interests are, based on how long the user spent to view the web page.

Regarding independent claim 9, Wodarz teaches the steps of:

- generating the requested web page, wherein the generated web page includes first and second content objects having respective unique first and second identifiers associated therewith (Wodarz, col.3, line 39 col.4, line 12 and page 3, table 1, Wodarz teaches generating a web page includes many ad objects. Each ad object having a unique identifier "Ad number" associated therewith);
- serving the generated web page to the web client (Wodarz, col.3, line 39 col.4, line 15);

Application/Control Number: 09/207,945 Page 8

Art Unit: 2178

- retrieving a layout template for the requested web page, wherein the layout template defines how content objects are displayed within the requested web page (Wodarz, col.1, lines 35-40);

- retrieving the first and second content objects (Wodarz, col.1, lines 35-62, retrieve many advertisement objects); and
- combining the first and second content objects and the layout template to produce the requested web page (Wodarz, col.1, line 35 col.2, line 6, combing many advertisement objects and layout template to generate the requested web page).

However, Wodarz does not explicitly disclose the steps of storing a record of the user request within a web server log; appending the stored record of the user request with the unique identifiers associated with the content objects included within the generated web page; and the unique first and second identifiers are generated via a hashing function.

Monier teaches unique identifier is generated via a hashing function along with each URL (Monier, col.5, lines 55-60 and fig.2).

It would have been obvious to a version of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Monier and Wodarz to provide an unique identifier for each advertisement using hash function, since the hash function was well known for providing a unique identifier of a piece of data.

Blumenau discloses the steps of:

storing a record of the user request within a web server log (Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-36); and

Art Unit: 2178

appending the stored record of the user request with a URL unique identifiers associated with the content objects included within the generated web page (Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-52, Blumenau teaches a web page "can itself reference other files" which implies that the web page must includes link object which has an unique identifier in order to reference to other file on an web page environment. Further Blumenau teaches that the log file stores user information and "an identification of the file requested" for each single file request which means that the unique identifier of the link request is also stored in the log file). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have recognized that the first and second URL identifiers of files requests (objects requests) must be added to the log file when the user requests such files.

However, Blumenau does not explicitly disclose appending the stored record of the user request with advertisement first and second identifiers.

Shaw teaches a server system utilizes information in an user profile/event log file to determine which advertisements are displayed to particular user (Shaw, col.4, lines 40-58), and an id ad is logged in an ad log file for the server process (Shaw, col.11, lins 56-57).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Blumenau and Shaw to store information about an object content in a web page, such as "URL" and "id ad" of the object content in a log file, since these information would have help the system determine which advertisement eligible to particular user.

Art Unit: 2178

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Shaw, Blumenau into Wodarz and Blumenau to help the server to provide web pages which based on the user specific characteristics as Wodarz disclosed "selection of ads to provide to the user are based on user specific characteristic" (Wodarz, col.2, lines 7-13), since storing "a record of the user request within a web server log" and advertisement identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web page of Shaw and Blumenau would have helped the server keep track of the user's information and activities in order to decide which advertisement suitable to the user.

Regarding dependent claim 10, claim 10 includes limitations of claim 2, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claim 11, claim 11 includes limitations of claim 4, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claim 12, claim 12 includes limitations of claim 6, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 13, Wodarz teaches the steps of:

- associating dynamically generated web page content with a user who requests a web page from a web server via a web client in communication with the web server (Wodarz, col.1, lines 35-52) comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/207,945 Page 11

Art Unit: 2178

generating a requested web page, wherein the generated web page includes a content object having a unique identifier associated therewith (Wodarz, col.3, line 39 - col.4, line 12 and page 3, table 1, Wodarz teaches generating a web page includes many ad objects. Each ad object having a unique identifier "Ad number" associated therewith); and

- serving the generated web page to the web client (Wodarz, col.3, line 39 – col.4, line 15).

However, Wodarz does not explicitly disclose the steps of storing a record of the user request within a web server log; appending the stored record of the user request with the unique identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web page; and the unique identifier is generated via a hashing function.

Monier teaches unique identifier is generated via a hashing function along with each URL (Monier, col.5, lines 55-60 and fig.2).

It would have been obvious to a version of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Monier and Wodarz to provide an unique identifier for each advertisement using hash function, since the hash function was well known for providing a unique identifier of a piece of data.

Blumenau discloses the steps of:

- storing a record of the user request within a web server log (Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-36); and
- appending the stored record of the user request with a unique URL identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web page

Art Unit: 2178

(Blumenau, col.2, lines 20-52, Blumenau teaches a web page "can itself reference other files" which implies that the web page must includes link object which has an unique identifier in order to reference to other file on an web page environment. Further Blumenau teaches that the log file stores user information and "an identification of the file requested" which makes it clear that the unique identifier of the link request is also stored in the log file).

However, Blumenau does not explicitly disclose appending the stored record of the user request with advertisement identifier.

Shaw teaches a server system utilizes information in an user profile/event log file to determine which advertisements are displayed to particular user (Shaw, col.4, lines 40-58), and an id ad is logged in an ad log file for the server process (Shaw, col.11, lins 56-57).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Blumenau and Shaw to store information about an object content in a web page, such as "URL" and "id ad" of the object content in a log file, since these information would have help the system determine which advertisement eligible to particular user.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Shaw, Blumenau into Wodarz and Blumenau to help the server to provide web pages which based on the user specific characteristics as Wodarz disclosed "selection of ads to provide to the user are based on user specific characteristic" (Wodarz, col.2, lines 7-13), since storing "a record of the user request within a web server log" and advertisement identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web

Art Unit: 2178

page of Shaw and Blumenau would have helped the server keep track of the user's information and activities in order to decide which advertisement suitable to the user.

Regarding dependent claim 15, claim 15 includes limitations of claim 2. Refer to the rationale relied to reject claim 2, wherein the record of the request includes information that identifies the user is addressed. The rationale is incorporated herein.

Regarding dependent claim 16, claim 16 includes limitations of claim 3. Refer to the rationale relied to reject claim 3, wherein retrieving a layout template for the requested web page, wherein the layout template defines how content objects are displayed within the requested web page; retrieving the content objects; and combining the content objects and the layout template to produce the requested web page are addressed. The rationale is incorporated herein.

Regarding dependent claim 17, claim 17 includes limitation of claim 4. Refer to the rationale relied to reject claim 4, wherein the content object is selected from the group consisting of text file, audio files, video files, image files and hyperlinks is addressed. The rationale is incorporated herein.

Regarding dependent claim 19, claim 19 includes limitation of claim 6. Refer to the rationale relied to reject claim 6, the step of analyzing a plurality of stored user request records to determine Web content preference of a user is addressed. The rationale is incorporated herein.

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 20-23, 25-32, 34-36, and 38 are for a computer system performing the method of claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-17, and 19, respectively and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 39-42, 44-51, 53-55, and 57 are for a computer program performing the method of claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-17, and 19, respectively and are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/06/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Blumenau does not teach the step of "appending the stored record of the user request the unique identifier associated with the content object", but only storing a record of user request for a specific web page.

This is not persuasive. In col.2, lines 24-34, Blumenau teaches a web page "can itself reference other files". These files are content objects used to generate the specific web page. Each of these objects is transferred to the client and logged (recorded in the server log) with a unique identifier in order to reference to them in a web environment (Blumenau, col.2 lines 34-44). These clearly indicate that not only a record of the web page itself, but also the unique identifiers of the content objects constitute that web page are recorded in the log file besides any other desired information.

Applicants further argue there is no motivation to combine Monier into Wodarz.

Art Unit: 2178

This is not persuasive. Hashing functions are well known to any person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide unique identifiers to certain entities. Wodarz teaches the step of serving advertisements that have unique identifiers to client content displays. It is obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to have looked for a way to generate unique identifier by using a hash function and modified it to combine into Wodaz in order to achieve Wodarz's objective of having unique identifiers to each advertisements. Monier teaches, among other things, the step of using a hashing function to provide unique identifier to a URL (Monier, col.5, lines 55-60 and fig.2). It is therefore obvious for the person of ordinary skill to combine Monier into Wodarz to achieve the mentioned objective.

Applicants also argue there is no motivation to combine Blumenau into Wodarz and Monier.

This is not persuasive. As already discussed in claim 1, both Blumenau and Shaw teach steps to store transactions and information in web log. Shaw further teaches processing web log to make use of the logged information in advertising. A combination of Blumenau and Shaw's log uses into Wodarz is obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement "selection of ads to provide to the user are based on user specific characteristic" (Wodarz, col.2, lines 7-13), since storing "a record of the user request within a web server log" and advertisement identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web page of Shaw and Blumenau would have helped the server keep track of the user's information and activities in order to decide which advertisement suitable to the user.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu V Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-9774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on 703-308-5186. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9000.

TVH July 7, 2003